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Recently, efforts have been made to use generalized sinc functions to perfectly reconstruct various kinds of non-bandlimited signals. As a consequence, perfect reconstruction sampling formulas have been established using such generalized sinc functions. This paper studies the error of the reconstructed non-bandlimited signal when an adaptive truncation scheme is employed. Further, when there are noises present in the samples, estimation on the expectation and variance of the error pertinent to the reconstructed signal is also given. Finally discussed are the reproducing properties and the Sobolev smoothness of functions in the space of non-bandlimited signals that admits such a sampling formula.
Keywords: generalized sinc function; non-bandlimited signal; sampling theorem; truncated error; noisy error; reproducing property; Sobolev smoothness

## 1. Introduction

We begin by establishing some notations used in the paper. Let $\mathbb{N}$ be the set of natural numbers, $\mathbb{Z}$ be the set of integers and $\mathbb{Z}_{+}:=\{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}$. For a positive integer $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we use the index set $\mathbb{Z}_{n}:=\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}$. Furthermore, we denote by $\mathbb{R}$ the set of real numbers, and by $\mathbb{C}$ the set of complex numbers. Let $X$ be a subset of $\mathbb{R}$, and for $q \in \mathbb{N}$, we say a function $f$ is in $L^{q}(X)$ if and only if

$$
\|f\|_{q, X}:=\left(\int_{X}|f(t)|^{q} \mathrm{~d} t\right)^{1 / q}<\infty
$$

and $f$ is said to be in $L^{\infty}(X)$ if

$$
\|f\|_{\infty, X}:=\operatorname{ess} \sup \{|f(t)|: t \in X\}<\infty .
$$

Similarly, let $Z$ be a subset of $\mathbb{Z}$, a sequence $\boldsymbol{y}:=\left(y_{k}: k \in Z\right)$ is said to be in $l^{q}(Z)$ if and only if

$$
\|\boldsymbol{y}\|_{q, Z}:=\left(\sum_{k \in Z}\left|y_{k}\right|^{q}\right)^{1 / q}<\infty
$$
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and $y$ is in $l^{\infty}(Z)$ if

$$
\|\boldsymbol{y}\|_{\infty, Z}:=\sup \left\{\left|y_{k}\right|: k \in Z\right\}<\infty
$$

In digital signal processing, the classic sinc function is fundamentally significant due to the Whittaker-Kotelnikov-Shannon (WKS) sampling theorem [1-3]. Recall that the classic sinc function is defined at $t \in \mathbb{R}$ by the equation:

$$
\operatorname{sinc}(t):=\frac{\sin t}{t}
$$

The WKS sampling theorem enables to reconstruct a bandlimited signal from shifts of sinc functions weighted by the uniformly spaced samples of that signal. It is natural to ask whether similar sampling theorem exists for non-bandlimited signals. To that end, recently efforts have been made to extend the classic sinc to generalized sinc functions, for example, in [4-6]. One kind of generalized sinc functions given in [4], denoted by $\operatorname{sinc}_{F}$, is defined as the inverse Fourier transform of a so-called symmetric cascade filter, denoted by $H$. The symmetric cascade filter $H$ is a piecewise constant function whose value at $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(\xi):=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}} b_{n} \chi_{I_{n}}(\xi), \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the sequence $\boldsymbol{b}=\left(b_{n}: n \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}\right)$is in $l^{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{+}\right), \chi_{I}$ is the indicator function of the set $I$, and the interval $I_{n}, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$, is the union of two symmetric intervals given by the equation:

$$
I_{n}:=(-(n+1),-n] \cup[n,(n+1))
$$

Thus, the generalized sinc function $\operatorname{sinc}_{F}$ is defined by the equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{sinc}_{F}:=\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \mathcal{F}^{-1} H \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where for any signal $f \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$

$$
(\mathcal{F} f)(\xi)=\hat{f}(\xi):=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \xi t} \mathrm{~d} t
$$

Of course, we have that $H \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ because $\boldsymbol{b} \in l^{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{+}\right)$, and hence $\operatorname{sinc}_{F} \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ since the Fourier operator is closed in $L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$.

With the generalized function $\operatorname{sinc}_{F}$, a perfect reconstruction sampling theorem was established in [4] for the purpose of reconstructing non-bandlimited signals. This kind of reconstruction sampling theorem may be very useful to study signals with polynomial decaying Fourier spectra that arise in evolution equations and control theories [7,8]. signal when an adaptive truncation scheme is employed. This will be done in Section 3. We further analyse the expectation and variance of the error of the reconstructed signal when there are noises present in the samples in Section 4. Finally, we discuss the reproducing properties and Sobolev smoothness of functions in the space of non-bandlimited signals that admits such a sampling formula. We begin with a discussion of the construction and known properties of the function $\operatorname{sinc}_{F}$ in Section 2.

## 2. Properties of the generalized sinc functions

Surprisingly, the function $\operatorname{sinc}_{F}$ has many properties that are similar to the classic sinc, such as cardinal, orthogonal properties, and it behaves also similarly to the classic sinc. In the special case, the function $\operatorname{sinc}_{F}$ reduces to the classic sinc. Let us first review the approach to obtain an explicit form of $\operatorname{sinc}_{F}$.

The symmetric cascade filter $H$ can be associated with an analytic function $F$ on the open unit disk:

$$
\Delta:=\{\zeta \in \mathbb{C}:|\zeta|<1\} .
$$

The value of $F$ at $z \in \Delta$ is well defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(z):=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}} b_{n} z^{n} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $\boldsymbol{b} \in l^{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{+}\right)$. Recall that the Hardy space $H^{2}(\Delta)$ consists of all functions $f$ analytic in $\Delta$, with norm given by

$$
\|f\|_{H^{2}(\Delta)}^{2}=\sup _{r \in(0,1)} \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{[-\pi, \pi]}\left|f\left(r \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} t}\right)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} t
$$

Since, by hypothesis, $\boldsymbol{b} \in l^{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{+}\right)$, we have that $F \in H^{2}(\Delta)$. Consequently, its extension to the boundary $\partial \Delta$ of $\Delta$ is in $L^{2}(\partial \Delta)$.

Thus, from Equations (1.2), (1.1) and (2.1) an explicit form of $\operatorname{sinc}_{F}(t), t \in \mathbb{R}$ can be found as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{sinc}_{F}(t)=\operatorname{sinc}\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) \operatorname{Re}\left\{F\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} t}\right) \mathrm{e}^{\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{i} t}\right\}, \quad \text { a.e. } \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\operatorname{Re}(z)$ is the real part of a complex number $z$.
We observe that if $\boldsymbol{b} \in l^{1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{+}\right)$, then $H \in L^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ and $F$ is continuous on the boundary of $\Delta$, which in turn implies $\operatorname{sinc}_{F}$ is continuous and bounded.

A very interesting fact, as discovered in the paper [9], is that when $F$ is imposed with a stronger condition of having analyticity in a neighbourhood of the closed unit disc $\bar{\Delta}$, the function $\operatorname{sinc}_{F}$ can be generated through a function, denoted by $G$, that is also analytic in a neighbourhood of the closed unit disc, real on the real axis and normalized so that $G(1)=1$ and $G^{\prime}(1) \neq 0$. The function $G$ is linked to $F$ by the equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(z):=\frac{G(z)-1}{z-1} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

A real-valued function, $\phi_{G}$, whose value at $t \in \mathbb{R}$ is then defined through the imaginary part of the values of $G$ on the unit circle by the equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{G}(t):=\frac{\operatorname{Im}\left(G\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} t}\right)\right)}{t} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying Equation (2.2) to compute $\operatorname{sinc}_{F}$ by using Equations (2.3) and (2.4) yields for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{sinc}_{F}(t)=\phi_{G}(t) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Two important examples can be demonstrated for this construction. When $G=z$, i.e. $F=1$, we have $\operatorname{sinc}_{F}=\phi_{G}=$ sinc. For the second example, let $G$ be the Blaschke product
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of order $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with parameters $\boldsymbol{a}:=\left(a_{j}: j \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}\right) \in(-1,1)^{n}$, that is,

$$
G(z)=B_{a}(z):=\prod_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}} \frac{z-a_{j}}{1-a_{j} z}
$$

Then, $\operatorname{sinc}_{F}(t)=\phi_{G}(t)=\frac{\sin \theta_{a}(t)}{t}$, where $\theta_{a}$ is determined by the boundary value of the Blaschke product at $t \in \mathbb{R}$ by $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta_{a}(t)}=B_{a}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} t}\right)$.

We, next, list some properties of the function $\operatorname{sinc}_{F}$.
Proposition 2.1 Let the generalized function $\operatorname{sinc}_{F}$ be defined by Equation (2.2). Then
(1) $\operatorname{sinc}_{F}(n \pi)=F(1) \delta_{n, 0}$, where $\delta_{n, 0}=1$ if $n=0$ and $\delta_{n, 0}=0$ if $n \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}$.
(2) $\operatorname{sinc}_{F}$ is bounded, infinitely differentiable.
(3) $\left|\operatorname{sinc}_{F}(t)\right| \leq \frac{\left.4\|\boldsymbol{b}\|_{l^{1}} \mathbb{Z}_{+}\right)}{2+|t|}$, for $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\operatorname{sinc}_{F} \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$.
(4) The set $\left\{\operatorname{sinc}_{F}(\cdot-n \pi): n \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$ is an orthogonal set, that is

$$
\left\langle\operatorname{sinc}_{F}, \operatorname{sinc}_{F}(\cdot-n \pi)\right\rangle=\pi\|\boldsymbol{b}\|_{l^{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{+}\right)}^{2} \delta_{n, 0}
$$

where $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ is the usual inner product on the Hilbert space $L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$.
Proof The first two statements directly follow from Equation (2.2). The third statement follows from Equation (2.2) and noticing $\operatorname{sinc}(t) \leq \frac{2}{1+|t|}$ for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$. The fourth statement is a special case of Corollary 3.2 of [4]. For the convenience of readers, we provide a direct proof here. By Parseval's theorem and Equation (1.2) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \operatorname{sinc}_{F}(t) \operatorname{sinc}_{F}(t-n \pi) \mathrm{d} t & =\frac{\pi}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} H^{2}(x) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} n \pi x} \mathrm{~d} x=\frac{\pi}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}} b_{k}^{2} \chi_{I_{k}}(x) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} n \pi x} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& =\frac{\pi}{2} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}} b_{k}^{2} \int_{I_{k}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} n \pi x} \mathrm{~d} x=\pi\left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}} b_{k}^{2}\right) \delta_{n, 0}
\end{aligned}
$$

where, in the last equality we have used the orthogonality of the set $\left\{\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} n \pi \xi}: n \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$ on $I_{k}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$. The interchange of the integral operator and the infinite sum is guaranteed by the convergence of the series.

## 3. Sampling truncation error analysis

In [4], a Shannon-type sampling theorem is given concerning functions in the shift-invariant space

$$
V_{F}:=\left\{\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_{n} \operatorname{sinc}_{F}(\cdot-n \pi): F(1)=1, \boldsymbol{c}=\left(c_{n}: n \in \mathbb{Z}\right) \in l^{2}(\mathbb{Z})\right\}
$$

of $\operatorname{sinc}_{F}$. The Shannon-type sampling theorem is the direct result of the properties in the previous proposition. We record it here.

Theorem 3.1 A signal $f \in V_{F}$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f(n \pi) \operatorname{sinc}_{F}(\cdot-n \pi) . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (3.1) necessarily implies that the sampling sequence $(f(n \pi): n \in \mathbb{Z}) \in l^{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ by the orthogonality of the $\operatorname{set}\left\{\operatorname{sinc}_{F}(\cdot-n \pi): n \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$. The above equation of course is true in $L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ norm. However, if $\boldsymbol{b} \in l^{1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{+}\right)$, Equation (3.1) holds true pointwise, because by Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, the series on the right side of Equation (3.1) converges uniformly, hence the limiting function $f$ is continuous.

We remark that, as pointed out in [4], a function $f \in V_{F}$ can be characterized by its spectrum. Specifically, a function $f \in V_{F}$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F} f(\xi)=\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}\left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f(n \pi) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} n \pi \xi}\right) H(\xi) . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (3.2) holds true in $L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ if $\boldsymbol{b} \in l^{2}(\mathbb{R})$, and a.e. pointwise if $f \in L^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ and the sample sequence $(f(n \pi): n \in \mathbb{Z}) \in l^{1}(\mathbb{Z})$.

The following property is true for functions in the space $V_{F}$ that is similar to Parseval's identity.

Proposition 3.2 If $f \in V_{F}$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2}=\pi\|\boldsymbol{b}\|_{l^{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{+}\right)}^{2} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f^{2}(n \pi) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof This is a direct result of Equation (3.1) and Proposition 2.1 (4).
The recovering formula in (3.1) involves an infinite sum. In practice, we need to truncate the series to approximate $f$. Here, we prefer an adaptively truncated sum and offer a pointwise estimation of the error.

For fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$, define the index set

$$
J_{n}(t):=\{j: j \in \mathbb{Z},|t-j \pi| \leq n \pi\}
$$

and the partial sum given at $t \in \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{n}(t):=\sum_{j \in J_{n}(t)} f(j \pi) \operatorname{sinc}_{F}(t-j \pi) . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The adaptive truncation strategy allows that for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there are approximately $2 n$ functions $\operatorname{sinc}_{F}$ shifted by a distance of $j \pi, j \in J_{n}(t)$, on both sides of $t$. The following theorem states that the truncated error estimate is $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{-1 / 2}\right)$. We recall a Calculus fact that will be used a couple of times later. For a positive and decreasing sequence $\boldsymbol{a}:=\left(a_{n}: n \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}\right)$, if $\frac{1}{a_{n+1}}-\frac{1}{a_{n}}=c$, where $c$ is a positive constant, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}} \frac{1}{a_{n}^{2}} \leq \frac{1}{a_{0}^{2}}+\frac{1}{c} \int_{\left[a_{0}, \infty\right)} \frac{1}{x^{2}} \mathrm{~d} x \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 3.3 Let $f \in V_{F}$ and $\boldsymbol{b} \in l^{1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{+}\right)$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{t \in \mathbb{R}}\left|f(t)-S_{n}(t)\right| \leq \frac{\|\boldsymbol{b}\|_{\ell^{1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{+}\right)}}{\|\boldsymbol{b}\|_{\ell^{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{+}\right)}}\|f\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})} \sqrt{\frac{8}{\pi^{3}}\left(\frac{1}{n^{2}}+\frac{1}{n}\right)} . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof We first note that by Equation (2.2), for $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\left|\operatorname{sinc}_{F}(t)\right| \leq\|\boldsymbol{b}\|_{l^{1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{+}\right)}\left|\operatorname{sinc} \frac{t}{2}\right| \leq \begin{cases}\|\boldsymbol{b}\|_{l^{1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{+}\right)} & \text {if }|t| \leq 2  \tag{3.7}\\ \frac{\|\boldsymbol{b}\|_{l^{1}}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{+}\right)}{} & \text {if }|t| \geq 2\end{cases}
$$

By Cauchy-Schwartz inequality and Equation (3.7), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|f(t)-S_{n}(t)\right|^{2} & =\left|\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash J_{n}(t)} f(j \pi) \operatorname{sinc}_{F}(t-j \pi)\right|^{2} \\
& \leq\left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash J_{n}(t)} f^{2}(j \pi)\right)\left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash J_{n}(t)} \operatorname{sinc}_{F}^{2}(t-j \pi)\right) \\
& \leq 4\|\boldsymbol{b}\|_{\ell^{1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{+}\right)}^{2}\left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash J_{n}(t)} f^{2}(j \pi)\right)\left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash J_{n}(t)} \frac{1}{(t-j \pi)^{2}}\right) . \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

We next estimate for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ the value of

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{n}(t):=\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash J_{n}(t)} \frac{1}{(t-j \pi)^{2}} . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to see that $R_{n}$ is periodic with period $\pi$. For $t \in[0, \pi)$, using Equation (3.5) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{n}(t) \leq 2\left(\frac{1}{n^{2} \pi^{2}}+\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{[n \pi, \infty)} \frac{1}{x^{2}} \mathrm{~d} x\right)=\frac{2}{\pi^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{n^{2}}+\frac{1}{n}\right) . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Equation (3.3), we obtain that the quantity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash J_{n}(t)} f^{2}(j \pi) \leq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f^{2}(n \pi)=\frac{\|f\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2}}{\pi\|\boldsymbol{b}\|_{l^{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{+}\right)}^{2}} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, we conclude (3.6) by combining Equations (3.8), (3.10) and (3.11).

## 4. Error analysis when noises present

Theorem 3.1 establishes that a signal in the space $V_{F}$ can be perfectly reconstructed by an infinite sum of shifts of the generalized sinc functions weighted by equally spaced samples of that signal. However, samples are often corrupted by noise in practice. In [10], Smale and Zhou gave an error estimate in the probability sense for Shannon sampling theorem with noised samples. In [11], Aldroubi, Leonetti and Sun studied the error by frame reconstruction from noised samples. In this section, we shall investigate the error of the sampling formula (3.1) with noised samples. Specifically, we deal with the following noise model concerning the noisy samples $\widetilde{f}(n \pi), n \in \mathbb{Z}$ whose value corrupted by noise is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{f}(n \pi)=f(n \pi)+\epsilon(n \pi), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we assume that $(\epsilon(n \pi): n \in \mathbb{Z})$ is a sequence of independent and identically distributed random variables with the expectation and variance of each given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}(\epsilon(n \pi))=0, \operatorname{Var}(\epsilon(n \pi))=\sigma^{2}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, in practice, we recover $f \in V_{F}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\natural}=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \tilde{f}(n \pi) \operatorname{sinc}_{F}(\cdot-n \pi) . \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We, next, study the expectation $\mathrm{E}\left(f-f^{\natural}\right)$ and variance $\operatorname{Var}\left(f-f^{\natural}\right)$.
Theorem 4.1 Let $f \in V_{F}$ be recovered by Equation (4.3) with the noised samples $\tilde{f}(n \pi)$ being referred to in (4.1). Then

$$
\mathrm{E}\left(f(t)-f^{\natural}(t)\right)=0
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Var}\left(f(t)-f^{\natural}(t)\right) \leq 2 \sigma^{2}\|\boldsymbol{b}\|_{l^{1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{+}\right)}^{2}\left(1+\frac{8}{\pi^{2}}\right) . \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof We first compute the expectation $\mathrm{E}\left(f(t)-f^{\natural}(t)\right)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{E}\left(f(t)-f^{\natural}(t)\right) & =\mathrm{E}\left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \epsilon(n \pi) \operatorname{sinc}_{F}(t-n \pi)\right) \\
& =\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{E}(\epsilon(n \pi)) \operatorname{sinc}_{F}(t-n \pi) \\
& =0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Invoking the assumed independence of $\epsilon(j \pi), j \in \mathbb{Z}$ we obtain:

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Var}\left(f(t)-f^{\natural}(t)\right) & =\operatorname{Var}\left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \epsilon(n \pi) \operatorname{sinc}_{F}(t-n \pi)\right) \\
& =\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{Var}\left(\epsilon(n \pi) \operatorname{sinc}_{F}(t-n \pi)\right) \\
& =\sigma^{2} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{sinc}_{F}^{2}(t-n \pi) . \tag{4.5}
\end{align*}
$$

The sequence $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{sinc}_{F}^{2}(t-n \pi)$ can be easily estimated. Note it is periodic with period $\pi$. Recalling Equation (3.7), for $t \in[0, \pi)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{sinc}_{F}^{2}(t-n \pi)= & \operatorname{sinc}_{F}^{2}(t)+\operatorname{sinc}_{F}^{2}(t-\pi)+\sum_{n \in-\mathbb{N}} \operatorname{sinc}_{F}^{2}(t-n \pi) \\
& +\sum_{n \in 1+\mathbb{N}} \operatorname{sinc}_{F}^{2}(t-n \pi) \leq 2+\sum_{n \in-\mathbb{N}} \operatorname{sinc}_{F}^{2}(t-n \pi) \\
& +\sum_{n \in 1+\mathbb{N}} \operatorname{sinc}_{F}^{2}(t-n \pi)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Y. Li et al.

Noting for $t \in[0, \pi), n \in \mathbb{N}, \frac{|t-n \pi|}{2} \geq \frac{\pi}{2}$ and in view of Equation (3.5), we obtain that

$$
\sum_{n \in 1+\mathbb{N}} \operatorname{sinc}_{F}^{2}(t-n \pi) \leq\|\boldsymbol{b}\|_{l^{1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{+}\right)}^{2}\left(\frac{4}{\pi^{2}}+\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{\left[\frac{\pi}{2}, \infty\right)} \frac{1}{x^{2}} \mathrm{~d} x\right)=\|\boldsymbol{b}\|_{l^{1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{+}\right)}^{2}\left(\frac{8}{\pi^{2}}\right) .
$$

Similarly we have

$$
\sum_{n \in-\mathbb{N}} \operatorname{sinc}_{F}^{2}(t-n \pi) \leq\|\boldsymbol{b}\|_{l^{1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{+}\right)}^{2}\left(\frac{8}{\pi^{2}}\right) .
$$

Consequently, we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{sinc}_{F}^{2}(t-n \pi) \leq 2\|\boldsymbol{b}\|_{l^{1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{+}\right)}^{2}\left(1+\frac{8}{\pi^{2}}\right) . \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, combining Equations (4.5) and (4.6) proves Equation (4.4).

## 5. The reproducing property and Sobolev smoothness

When the analytical function $F$ is chosen to be $F=1$, the space $V_{F}$ reduces to the space of bandlimited signals. The space of bandlimited signals is a reproducing kernel Hilbert space (r.k.H.s) [12]. We, next, show that the space $V_{F}$ has a similar property. However, the reproducing kernel is a distribution in the space of tempered distributions. We define the distribution for $x, t \in \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
\Phi(x, t):=\frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{sinc}_{F}(t-x) \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} 2 k x}
$$

Recalling the Poisson formula in the distribution form:

$$
\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} 2 k x}=\pi \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \delta(x-k \pi),
$$

where $\delta$ is the usual Dirac delta function, we immediately obtain an alternative form of $\Phi$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(x, t)=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \delta(x-k \pi) \operatorname{sinc}_{F}(t-x) \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 5.1 Let $f \in V_{F}$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \Phi(x, t) \mathrm{d} x \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the distribution sense.
Proof Poisson's summation formula indicates that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f(n \pi) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} n \pi \xi}=\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{F} f(\xi+2 k) \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus Equation (3.2) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F} f(\xi)=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{F} f(\xi+2 k) H(\xi) \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

This leads to

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(t) & =\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{F} f(\cdot+2 k) H\right)(t) \\
& =\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathcal{F} f(\cdot+2 k) H)(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

The interchange of the order of the sum and the integral operator is justified by the conver- gence of the series. Let $g * h$ be the convolution of two functions $g, h \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$. Recalling Equations (1.2) and the convolution theorem for the Fourier transform, we, therefore, conclude that
which is Equation (5.2).
Next we discuss the Sobolev smoothness of a function in the space $V_{F}$. We say that a function $f$ belongs to the Sobolev space $H^{s}(\mathbb{R})$ if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}}|\hat{f}(\xi)|^{2}\left(1+|\xi|^{2}\right)^{s} \mathrm{~d} \xi<\infty \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Sobolev smoothness of $f$ is defined to be $\nu_{2}(f):=\sup \left\{s: f \in H^{s}(\mathbb{R})\right\}$. As an example, the Sobolev smoothness of a function in the space of bandlimited signals is infinity. Algorithms [13-16] or even Matlab routines [17] are given for calculating Sobolev smoothness of a refinable function. However, those algorithms are not applicable to calculate the Sobolev smoothness of a function in the space $V_{F}$. We give a characterization in the next theorem about the Sobolev smoothness of the functions in the space $V_{F}$.

Theorem 5.2 If $f \in V_{F}$ and for some $s \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}} b_{n}^{2} n^{2 s}<\infty \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the Sobolev smoothness of the function $f$ satisfies $\nu_{2}(f) \geq s$.

Proof By Equations (1.1) and (3.2) we obtain that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}}|\hat{f}(\xi)|^{2}\left(1+|\xi|^{2}\right)^{s} \mathrm{~d} \xi=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}} b_{n}^{2} \int_{I_{n}}\left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} f(k \pi) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} k \pi \xi}\right)^{2}\left(1+\xi^{2}\right)^{s} \mathrm{~d} \xi
$$

Noting when $\xi \in I_{n}, n \leq|\xi|<n+1$ for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$, which implies that $1+\xi^{2} \leq 3 n^{2}$, for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$. Consequently, we deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}}|\hat{f}(\xi)|^{2}\left(1+|\xi|^{2}\right)^{s} \mathrm{~d} \xi & \leq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}} 3^{s} b_{n}^{2} n^{2 s} \int_{I_{n}}\left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} f(k \pi) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} k \pi \xi}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} \xi \\
& =\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}} 3^{s} b_{n}^{2} n^{2 s} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} f^{2}(k \pi)
\end{aligned}
$$

where in the last equality, again, we have used the orthogonality of the set $\left\{\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} k \pi \xi}: k \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$ on $I_{n}, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$. Now, applying Equation (3.3), we obtain that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}}|\hat{f}(\xi)|^{2}\left(1+|\xi|^{2}\right)^{s} \mathrm{~d} \xi \leq \frac{3^{s}}{\pi} \frac{\|f\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2}}{\|\boldsymbol{b}\|_{1^{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{+}\right)}^{2}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}} b_{n}^{2} n^{2 s}<\infty
$$

by the assumption (5.6).
For example, consider the space $V_{F}$ associated with the analytic function $F$ in Equation (2.1) such that the sequence $\boldsymbol{b}$ is a geometric sequence with $b_{n}=a^{n}(1-a), n \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$, where $a \in(-1,1)$. The series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n^{2 s} a^{2 n}(1-a)^{2}$ converges for every $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Therefore, we have the Sobolev smoothness $\nu_{2}(f)=\infty$. In particular, when $a=0$, the space $V_{F}$ degenerates to the space of bandlimited functions, and its Sobolev smoothness is infinity.

If $\boldsymbol{b}$ is given by $b_{n}=\frac{1}{(n+1)^{3}}, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$, the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n^{2 s} \frac{1}{(2 n+1)^{6}}$ converges if and only if $6-2 s>1$, that is, $s<2.5$, which implies that $\nu_{2}(f)=2.5$ in this case.
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