Provenance of Ejecta and Regolith Thickness in the Vicinity of the Chang'e-6 Landing Site



Zhipeng Liu, Yi Xu*(yixu@must.edu.mo), Roberto Bugiolacchi State Key Laboratory of Lunar and Planetary Sciences, Macau University of Science and Technology,



Introduction

The South Pole Aitken (**SPA**¹) Basin (Fig. 1a), one of the three major geologic terrains in the Moon, is distinguished by its geographical position, considerable scale², and great age³. It provides a unique window for exploring the lunar interior and early impact history of the Solar System.



The thickness of lunar regolith

Parameters of the fresh impact crater⁵: Angle of repose (α) and angle of illumination (γ), the ratio of the bottom diameter (D_F) to the apparent diameter (D_A) of the craters, denoted as D_F/D_A , is introduced for distinguishing normal, flat-bottomed, central mound, and concentric crater.



The thickness of Ejecta

Results

Estimated Ejecta Thickness



Figure 5. Ejecta thickness in Chang'E-6 selected landing area calculated using Pike's Model. The White crater ejecta contributes the most in the B and F regions.

Regolith Thickness

Figure 1. Targeted area. (a) Elevation map of the lunar farside (orthographic projection). LROC DEM image, with a resolution of 100 m/pixel. (b) KAGUYA TC-morning image of the Chang 'e-6 pre-landing area, F, L, B regions. (c) Chang 'E-6 pre-landing zone elevation map, using KAGUYA DTM image.

The Chang'E-6 (CE-6) landed in the Apollo Basin (Fig. 2).

- Thickness and material sources (in-situ materials and exogenous materials) of the lunar regolith layer
- Stratigraphical sequence



Figure 2. Location map of Apollo Basin, Geological map of the Chang 'E-6 proposed landing area and Dating Age⁴ (CSFD) from F,L,B regions. **pNbm** : pre-Nectarian Basin Massif **Inp** : Imbrian Nectarian Plains **los** : Imbrian Orientale Hevelius Formation, Secondary Crater Facies Im2 : Imbrian Mare, Upper *Ec* : *Eratosthenian* Crater the inner ring SPA Compositional Anomaly" (**SPACA**), the central ring OPX Annulus" (**OPX-A**), and the outer ring Heterogeneous Annulus" (**ET-A**)

Method







 $0.014 R^{1.01}$ simple crater (6) Sharpton's Model $R^{0.399}$ complex crater

 R_t is the transient rim radius of the crater, R is the final rim radius of the crater, and r is the surface distance from the crater central to the current measurement point.

Potential sources of Ejecta



Figure 4: (a) Distribution of ejecta source craters. White circles represent impact craters that potentially contribute to an ejecta layer thicker than 10 cm. Blue ones represent impact craters with a diameter greater than 100 km. Yellow box indicates CE-6 pre-selected landing area. (b) A distribution map of selected points used to calculate the ejecta thickness. The results include CE-6 landing site, 9 points in the F region, 3 points in the L region, and 5 points in the B region. (c)The NAC map of CE-6 landing site. The surrounding area of the CE-6 landing site includes six normal craters (red arrows) and a flat-bottomed crater (green circle).



Figure 6. The distribution maps of lunar regolith thickness (left) and crater density image (right) were calculated based on the statistical recurrence of (a) normal, (b) flat-bottomed, and (c) concentric craters.

Stratigraphy Column of the CE-6 selected landing area

















Fig. 3 The four different types of impact craters: (a) normal, (b) flatbottomed, (c) central mound and (d) concentric craters. NAC images (Left) and simple profile diagrams (Right).

Conclusions

- Analyzed a total of 44,163 fresh craters from LROC NAC images.
- The thickness of the regolith layer was determined to range from 4 to 8.3 meters, with a noticeable increase towards areas F, L, and B within the designated region.
- The F region shows a relatively high concentration of concentric craters, suggesting a higher probability of rock exposures in this area.
- The ejecta thickness distribution analysis in the landing area using Pike's model ranges from 5 to 29 meters.

References

[1]Jolliff et al., 2000. [2]Garrick-Bethell and Zuber,2009.[3]Hesiinger et al.,2012. [4]Zeng et al., 2023 [5]Quaide and Oberbeck, 1968.

Layer IV: Paleo-regolith layer, consisting of typical debris rock layers

Fig.7: Stratigraphy of the Chang'E-6 Pre-landing region

Acknowledgement

This work was supported by the Science and Technology Development Fund of Macau under Grant 0014/2022/A1.

Contact

First author: Zhipeng Liu, a PhD student Major: Planetary remote sensing data processing. Email: liuzzzhipeng@gamil.com Corresponding author: Yi Xu, Currently an associate professor of SSI at MUST.

